

# Schrödinger problem and $W_2$ -geodesics

joint work with Nicola Gigli

Luca Tamanini

Université Paris Nanterre  
SISSA



En l'honneur de P. Cattiaux et C. Léonard  
Toulouse, le 7 juin 2017

## Theorem (Gigli '13)

Let

- $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$  space;
- $(\mu_t)$  a  $W_2$ -geodesic with  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \leq C\mathfrak{m}$ ;
- $f \in W^{1,2}(X)$ .

Then  $t \mapsto \int f d\mu_t$  is  $C^1([0, 1])$  and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int f d\mu_t = - \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla \phi_t \rangle d\mu_t$$

where  $(\phi_t) \subset W^{1,2}(X)$  is any continuous choice of locally Lipschitz functions such that

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \text{div}(\nabla \phi_t \mu_t) = 0.$$

Let

- $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  be a  $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$  space;
- $(\mu_t)$  a  $W_2$ -geodesic with  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \leq C\mathfrak{m}$ ;
- $f \in W^{2,2}(X)$ .

Question: can we say that  $t \mapsto \int f d\mu_t$  is  $C^2([0, 1])$  and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int f d\mu_t = \int \text{Hess}(f)(\nabla\phi_t, \nabla\phi_t) d\mu_t$$

where  $(\phi_t) \subset W^{1,2}(X)$  is any continuous choice of locally Lipschitz functions such that

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \text{div}(\nabla\phi_t \mu_t) = 0?$$

Let

- $(X, d, m)$  be a  $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$  space;
- $(\mu_t)$  a  $W_2$ -geodesic with  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \leq Cm$ ;
- $f \in W^{2,2}(X)$ .

**Question:** can we say that  $t \mapsto \int f d\mu_t$  is  $C^2([0, 1])$  and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int f d\mu_t = \int \text{Hess}(f)(\nabla\phi_t, \nabla\phi_t) d\mu_t$$

where  $(\phi_t) \subset W^{1,2}(X)$  is any continuous choice of locally Lipschitz functions such that

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \text{div}(\nabla\phi_t \mu_t) = 0?$$

# Geodesics in $(\mathcal{P}_2(X), W_2)$

A  $W_2$ -geodesic  $(\mu_t)$  on  $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$  solves

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \phi_t \mu_t) = 0$$

for functions  $(\phi_t)$  such that

$$\partial_t \phi_t + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_t|^2 = 0$$

**Problem:** no matter how nice  $\mu_0, \mu_1$  are, in general the  $\phi_t$ 's are only semiconcave.

**Question:** given a geodesic  $(\mu_t)$ , can we find curves  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon)$  which are smooth and produce a second order approximation of  $(\mu_t)$ ?

A  $W_2$ -geodesic  $(\mu_t)$  on  $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$  solves

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \phi_t \mu_t) = 0$$

for functions  $(\phi_t)$  such that

$$\partial_t \phi_t + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_t|^2 = 0$$

**Problem:** no matter how nice  $\mu_0, \mu_1$  are, in general the  $\phi_t$ 's are only semiconcave.

**Question:** given a geodesic  $(\mu_t)$ , can we find curves  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon)$  which are smooth and produce a second order approximation of  $(\mu_t)$ ?

Let  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon), (\phi_t^\varepsilon)$  be smooth and such that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mu_t^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon \mu_t^\varepsilon) &= 0 \\ \partial_t \phi_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon|^2 &= a_t^\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Then for every  $f$  smooth we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \int f d\mu_t^\varepsilon &= \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon \rangle d\mu_t^\varepsilon \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int f d\mu_t^\varepsilon &= \int \left( \operatorname{Hess}(f)(\nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon, \nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon) + \langle \nabla f, \nabla a_t^\varepsilon \rangle \right) d\mu_t^\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon), (\phi_t^\varepsilon)$  be smooth and such that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mu_t^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon \mu_t^\varepsilon) &= 0 \\ \partial_t \phi_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon|^2 &= a_t^\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

Then for every  $f$  smooth we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \int f d\mu_t^\varepsilon &= \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon \rangle d\mu_t^\varepsilon \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int f d\mu_t^\varepsilon &= \int \left( \operatorname{Hess}(f)(\nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon, \nabla \phi_t^\varepsilon) + \langle \nabla f, \nabla a_t^\varepsilon \rangle \right) d\mu_t^\varepsilon\end{aligned}$$



# Rigorous statement of the problem

Given  $X$  smooth and  $\mu_0, \mu_1$  with bounded densities and supports, find  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon)$  so that

**0th order:**  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon)$  uniformly  $W_2$ -converges to the only  $W_2$ -geodesic  $(\mu_t)$  from  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_1$  with densities uniformly bounded;

**1st order:** up to subsequences,  $\phi_t^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \bar{\phi}_t$  in  $W^{1,2}(X)$ , with  $(\bar{\phi}_t)$  a choice of Kantorovich potentials associated to  $(\mu_t)$ ;

**2nd order:** for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(X)$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$  it holds

$$\iint_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \langle \nabla f, \nabla a_t^\varepsilon \rangle \rho_t^\varepsilon dt d\mathbf{m} \rightarrow 0$$

The estimates should depend only on:

- the  $L^\infty$ -norms of the densities of  $\mu_0, \mu_1$ ;
- the diameter of their supports;
- the lower bound on the Ricci curvature of  $X$ ;
- the dimension of  $X$ .

# Rigorous statement of the problem

Given  $X$  smooth and  $\mu_0, \mu_1$  with bounded densities and supports, find  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon)$  so that

**0th order:**  $(\mu_t^\varepsilon)$  uniformly  $W_2$ -converges to the only  $W_2$ -geodesic  $(\mu_t)$  from  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_1$  with densities uniformly bounded;

**1st order:** up to subsequences,  $\phi_t^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \bar{\phi}_t$  in  $W^{1,2}(X)$ , with  $(\bar{\phi}_t)$  a choice of Kantorovich potentials associated to  $(\mu_t)$ ;

**2nd order:** for every  $f \in W^{2,2}(X)$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$  it holds

$$\iint_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \langle \nabla f, \nabla a_t^\varepsilon \rangle \rho_t^\varepsilon dt d\mathbf{m} \rightarrow 0$$

The estimates should depend only on:

- the  $L^\infty$ -norms of the densities of  $\mu_0, \mu_1$ ;
- the diameter of their supports;
- the lower bound on the Ricci curvature of  $X$ ;
- the dimension of  $X$ .

# Interpolation between probability densities via the heat flow: the Schrödinger system

Let  $X$  be smooth and  $\rho_0, \rho_1$  bounded probability densities with bounded support.

Find functions  $f, g$  on  $X$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_0 = f P_1(g) \\ \rho_1 = P_1(f) g \end{cases}$$

The *entropic interpolation* between  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  is then defined by

$$\rho_t := P_t(f) P_{1-t}(g), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

# Interpolation between probability densities via the heat flow: the Schrödinger system

Let  $X$  be smooth and  $\rho_0, \rho_1$  bounded probability densities with bounded support.

Find functions  $f, g$  on  $X$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_0 = f P_1(g) \\ \rho_1 = P_1(f) g \end{cases}$$

The *entropic interpolation* between  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  is then defined by

$$\rho_t := P_t(f) P_{1-t}(g), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

# Interpolation between probability densities via the heat flow: slowing down

Let  $X$  be smooth and  $\rho_0, \rho_1$  bounded probability densities with bounded support.

Find functions  $f^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon$  on  $X$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_0 = f^\varepsilon P_\varepsilon(g^\varepsilon) \\ \rho_1 = P_\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) g^\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

The *entropic interpolation* between  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  is then defined by

$$\rho_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon t}(f^\varepsilon) P_{\varepsilon(1-t)}(g^\varepsilon), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

# Interpolation between probability densities via the heat flow: slowing down

Let  $X$  be smooth and  $\rho_0, \rho_1$  bounded probability densities with bounded support.

Find functions  $f^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon$  on  $X$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_0 = f^\varepsilon P_\varepsilon(g^\varepsilon) \\ \rho_1 = P_\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) g^\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

The *entropic interpolation* between  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  is then defined by

$$\rho_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon t}(f^\varepsilon) P_{\varepsilon(1-t)}(g^\varepsilon), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

# How to find the functions $f^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon$ : the Schrödinger problem

Let  $R_\varepsilon$  be the measure on  $X^2$  given by

$$dR_\varepsilon(x, y) := \frac{dP_\varepsilon(\delta_x)}{dm}(y) d(m \otimes m)(x, y)$$

Then  $(f^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon)$  is a solution to the Schrödinger system if and only if

$$f^\varepsilon \otimes g^\varepsilon R_\varepsilon \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0 m, \rho_1 m)$$

where  $f^\varepsilon \otimes g^\varepsilon(x, y) := f^\varepsilon(x)g^\varepsilon(y)$ .

# How to find the functions $f^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon$ : the Schrödinger problem

Let  $\pi^\varepsilon$  be the unique minimum of

$$\inf_{\pi \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0^m, \rho_1^m)} H(\pi | R_\varepsilon)$$

Its Euler equation is

$$\int \log \left( \frac{d\pi^\varepsilon}{dR_\varepsilon} \right) d\sigma = 0$$

for every  $\sigma$  such that  $\pi_{\#}^1 \sigma = \pi_{\#}^2 = 0$ . This forces

$$\log \left( \frac{d\pi^\varepsilon}{dR_\varepsilon} \right) = a^\varepsilon \oplus b^\varepsilon$$

for some  $a^\varepsilon, b^\varepsilon$ , where  $a^\varepsilon \oplus b^\varepsilon(x, y) := a^\varepsilon(x) + b^\varepsilon(y)$ .

Thus for  $f^\varepsilon := \exp(a^\varepsilon), g^\varepsilon := \exp(b^\varepsilon)$  we have

$$\pi^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon \otimes g^\varepsilon R_\varepsilon$$



# How to find the functions $f^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon$ : the Schrödinger problem

Let  $\pi^\varepsilon$  be the unique minimum of

$$\inf_{\pi \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0^m, \rho_1^m)} H(\pi | R_\varepsilon)$$

Its Euler equation is

$$\int \log \left( \frac{d\pi^\varepsilon}{dR_\varepsilon} \right) d\sigma = 0$$

for every  $\sigma$  such that  $\pi_{\#}^1 \sigma = \pi_{\#}^2 = 0$ . This forces

$$\log \left( \frac{d\pi^\varepsilon}{dR_\varepsilon} \right) = a^\varepsilon \oplus b^\varepsilon$$

for some  $a^\varepsilon, b^\varepsilon$ , where  $a^\varepsilon \oplus b^\varepsilon(x, y) := a^\varepsilon(x) + b^\varepsilon(y)$ .

Thus for  $f^\varepsilon := \exp(a^\varepsilon), g^\varepsilon := \exp(b^\varepsilon)$  we have

$$\pi^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon \otimes g^\varepsilon R_\varepsilon$$

# How to find the functions $f^\varepsilon, g^\varepsilon$ : the Schrödinger problem

Let  $\pi^\varepsilon$  be the unique minimum of

$$\inf_{\pi \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0^m, \rho_1^m)} H(\pi | R_\varepsilon)$$

Its Euler equation is

$$\int \log \left( \frac{d\pi^\varepsilon}{dR_\varepsilon} \right) d\sigma = 0$$

for every  $\sigma$  such that  $\pi_{\#}^1 \sigma = \pi_{\#}^2 = 0$ . This forces

$$\log \left( \frac{d\pi^\varepsilon}{dR_\varepsilon} \right) = a^\varepsilon \oplus b^\varepsilon$$

for some  $a^\varepsilon, b^\varepsilon$ , where  $a^\varepsilon \oplus b^\varepsilon(x, y) := a^\varepsilon(x) + b^\varepsilon(y)$ .

Thus for  $f^\varepsilon := \exp(a^\varepsilon), g^\varepsilon := \exp(b^\varepsilon)$  we have

$$\pi^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon \otimes g^\varepsilon R_\varepsilon$$

# The dual problem

With some manipulations one can show that the dual problem of

$$\inf_{\pi \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0 \mathbf{m}, \rho_1 \mathbf{m})} \varepsilon H(\pi | R_\varepsilon)$$

is

$$\sup_{\varphi, \psi \in C(X)} \left\{ \int \varphi \rho_0 \mathbf{d}\mathbf{m} + \int \psi \rho_1 \mathbf{d}\mathbf{m} - \varepsilon \log \left( \int e^{\frac{\varphi \oplus \psi}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{d}R_\varepsilon \right) \right\}$$

Moreover, if  $\pi^\varepsilon$  is a minimizer and  $\varphi^\varepsilon, \psi^\varepsilon$  maximizers (Schrödinger potentials), we have

$$\pi^\varepsilon = e^{\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon \oplus \psi^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} R_\varepsilon$$

# The dual problem

With some manipulations one can show that the dual problem of

$$\inf_{\pi \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0 \mathbf{m}, \rho_1 \mathbf{m})} \varepsilon H(\pi | R_\varepsilon)$$

is

$$\sup_{\varphi, \psi \in C(X)} \left\{ \int \varphi \rho_0 \mathbf{d}\mathbf{m} + \int \psi \rho_1 \mathbf{d}\mathbf{m} - \varepsilon \log \left( \int e^{\frac{\varphi \oplus \psi}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{d}R_\varepsilon \right) \right\}$$

Moreover, if  $\pi^\varepsilon$  is a minimizer and  $\varphi^\varepsilon, \psi^\varepsilon$  maximizers (Schrödinger potentials), we have

$$\pi^\varepsilon = e^{\frac{\varphi^\varepsilon \oplus \psi^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} R_\varepsilon$$

## Theorem (Mikami-Thieullen '04 and Léonard '12)

As  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  the curves  $t \mapsto \rho_t^\varepsilon$  converge to the (unique)  $W_2$ -geodesic between  $\rho_0 \mathbf{m}$  and  $\rho_1 \mathbf{m}$ . Moreover

$$\varepsilon H(\pi^\varepsilon \mid R_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \inf_{\pi \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0 \mathbf{m}, \rho_1 \mathbf{m})} \frac{1}{2} \int d^2(x, y) d\pi.$$

The precise statement involves:

- abstract spaces;
- $\Gamma$ -convergence;
- a large deviation assumption on the heat kernel.

## Theorem (Mikami-Thieullen '04 and Léonard '12)

As  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  the curves  $t \mapsto \rho_t^\varepsilon$  converge to the (unique)  $W_2$ -geodesic between  $\rho_0 \mathbf{m}$  and  $\rho_1 \mathbf{m}$ . Moreover

$$\varepsilon H(\pi^\varepsilon \mid R_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \inf_{\pi \in \text{Adm}(\rho_0 \mathbf{m}, \rho_1 \mathbf{m})} \frac{1}{2} \int d^2(x, y) d\pi.$$

The precise statement involves:

- abstract spaces;
- $\Gamma$ -convergence;
- a large deviation assumption on the heat kernel.

# Building the approximation

$$\rho_t^\varepsilon := f_t^\varepsilon g_t^\varepsilon \quad f_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon t/2} f^\varepsilon \quad g_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon(1-t)/2} g^\varepsilon$$

$$\partial_t f_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta f_t^\varepsilon \quad -\partial_t g_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta g_t^\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log f_t^\varepsilon \\ \partial_t \varphi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \varphi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \psi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log g_t^\varepsilon \\ -\partial_t \psi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \psi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon \log \rho_t^\varepsilon = \varphi_t^\varepsilon + \psi_t^\varepsilon \quad \vartheta_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} (\psi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t^\varepsilon)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_t^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon \rho_t^\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \vartheta_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon|^2 = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^2 (2\Delta \log \rho_t^\varepsilon + |\nabla \log \rho_t^\varepsilon|^2)}_{=: a_t^\varepsilon}$$

# Building the approximation

$$\rho_t^\varepsilon := f_t^\varepsilon g_t^\varepsilon \quad f_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon t/2} f^\varepsilon \quad g_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon(1-t)/2} g^\varepsilon$$

$$\partial_t f_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta f_t^\varepsilon \quad -\partial_t g_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta g_t^\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log f_t^\varepsilon \\ \partial_t \varphi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \varphi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \psi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log g_t^\varepsilon \\ -\partial_t \psi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \psi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon \log \rho_t^\varepsilon = \varphi_t^\varepsilon + \psi_t^\varepsilon \quad \vartheta_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} (\psi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t^\varepsilon)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_t^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon \rho_t^\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \vartheta_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon|^2 = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^2 (2\Delta \log \rho_t^\varepsilon + |\nabla \log \rho_t^\varepsilon|^2)}_{=: a_t^\varepsilon}$$



# Building the approximation

$$\rho_t^\varepsilon := f_t^\varepsilon g_t^\varepsilon \quad f_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon t/2} f^\varepsilon \quad g_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon(1-t)/2} g^\varepsilon$$

$$\partial_t f_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta f_t^\varepsilon \quad -\partial_t g_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta g_t^\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log f_t^\varepsilon \\ \partial_t \varphi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \varphi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \psi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log g_t^\varepsilon \\ -\partial_t \psi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \psi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon \log \rho_t^\varepsilon = \varphi_t^\varepsilon + \psi_t^\varepsilon \quad \vartheta_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} (\psi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t^\varepsilon)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_t^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon \rho_t^\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \vartheta_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon|^2 = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^2 (2\Delta \log \rho_t^\varepsilon + |\nabla \log \rho_t^\varepsilon|^2)}_{=: a_t^\varepsilon}$$

# Building the approximation

$$\rho_t^\varepsilon := f_t^\varepsilon g_t^\varepsilon \quad f_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon t/2} f^\varepsilon \quad g_t^\varepsilon := P_{\varepsilon(1-t)/2} g^\varepsilon$$

$$\partial_t f_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta f_t^\varepsilon \quad -\partial_t g_t^\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta g_t^\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log f_t^\varepsilon \\ \partial_t \varphi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \varphi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \psi_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon \log g_t^\varepsilon \\ -\partial_t \psi_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Delta \psi_t^\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon \log \rho_t^\varepsilon = \varphi_t^\varepsilon + \psi_t^\varepsilon \quad \vartheta_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2} (\psi_t^\varepsilon - \varphi_t^\varepsilon)$$

$$\partial_t \rho_t^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon \rho_t^\varepsilon) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \vartheta_t^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \vartheta_t^\varepsilon|^2 = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^2 (2\Delta \log \rho_t^\varepsilon + |\nabla \log \rho_t^\varepsilon|^2)}_{=: a_t^\varepsilon}$$

# Statement of the convergence results

Given  $X$  smooth and  $\mu_0, \mu_1$  with bounded densities and supports, with the notations previously introduced we have that:

**0th order:**  $(\rho_t^\varepsilon \mathfrak{m})$  uniformly  $W_2$ -converges to the only  $W_2$ -geodesic  $(\mu_t)$  from  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_1$  with densities uniformly bounded;

**1st order:** up to subsequences,  $\vartheta_t^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \bar{\vartheta}_t$  in  $W_{loc}^{1,2}(X)$ , with  $(\bar{\vartheta}_t)$  a choice of Kantorovich potentials associated to  $(\mu_t)$ ;

**2nd order:** for every  $f \in H^{2,2}(X)$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$  it holds

$$\iint_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \bar{a}_t^\varepsilon \rangle \rho_t^\varepsilon dt d\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$$

The estimates only depend on  $\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|\rho_1\|_{L^\infty}, K, N$  and on the diameter of the supports of  $\rho_0, \rho_1$ .

Actually, the statement holds on  $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$  spaces.

# Statement of the convergence results

Given  $X$  smooth and  $\mu_0, \mu_1$  with bounded densities and supports, with the notations previously introduced we have that:

**0th order:**  $(\rho_t^\varepsilon)$  uniformly  $W_2$ -converges to the only  $W_2$ -geodesic  $(\mu_t)$  from  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_1$  with densities uniformly bounded;

**1st order:** up to subsequences,  $\vartheta_t^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \bar{\vartheta}_t$  in  $W_{loc}^{1,2}(X)$ , with  $(\bar{\vartheta}_t)$  a choice of Kantorovich potentials associated to  $(\mu_t)$ ;

**2nd order:** for every  $f \in H^{2,2}(X)$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$  it holds

$$\iint_{\delta}^{1-\delta} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \bar{a}_t^\varepsilon \rangle \rho_t^\varepsilon dt dm \rightarrow 0$$

The estimates only depend on  $\|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}, \|\rho_1\|_{L^\infty}, K, N$  and on the diameter of the supports of  $\rho_0, \rho_1$ .

Actually, the statement holds on  $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$  spaces.

# A couple of estimates

Let  $(u_t)$  be a solution of the heat equation with positive compactly supported  $L^1$  initial datum. Then:

- Hamilton's gradient estimate

$$|\nabla \log u_t| \leq \frac{C_1}{t} \left(1 + d(\cdot, \bar{x})\right), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1];$$

- Li-Yau Laplacian estimate

$$\Delta \log u_t \geq -\frac{C_2}{t}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

The constants  $C_1, C_2$  are positive and only depend on  $K, N$  and on the diameter of  $\text{supp}(u_0)$  (on  $\bar{x}$  too for  $C_1$ ).

↪ this leads to 0th and 1st order approximation

# A couple of estimates

Let  $(u_t)$  be a solution of the heat equation with positive compactly supported  $L^1$  initial datum. Then:

- Hamilton's gradient estimate

$$|\nabla \log u_t| \leq \frac{C_1}{t} \left(1 + d(\cdot, \bar{x})\right), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1];$$

- Li-Yau Laplacian estimate

$$\Delta \log u_t \geq -\frac{C_2}{t}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

The constants  $C_1, C_2$  are positive and only depend on  $K, N$  and on the diameter of  $\text{supp}(u_0)$  (on  $\bar{x}$  too for  $C_1$ ).

$\leadsto$  this leads to 0th and 1st order approximation

We start from

Theorem (Léonard '13)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dt^2} H(\rho_t^\varepsilon \mathbf{m} \mid \mathbf{m}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left( \Gamma_2(\varphi_t^\varepsilon) + \Gamma_2(\psi_t^\varepsilon) \right) \rho_t^\varepsilon d\mathbf{m} \\ &= \int \left( \Gamma_2(\vartheta_t^\varepsilon) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Gamma_2(\log \rho_t^\varepsilon) \right) \rho_t^\varepsilon d\mathbf{m}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma_2(h) := \Delta \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{2} - \langle \nabla h, \nabla \Delta h \rangle$$

## Second order approximation

Then from Léonard's formula we deduce that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \iint_{\mathcal{J}_\delta}^{1-\delta} \left( |\text{Hess}(\vartheta_t^\varepsilon)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\text{Hess}(\log \rho_t^\varepsilon)|^2 \right) \rho_t^\varepsilon dt d\mathbf{m} < +\infty$$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \iint_{\mathcal{J}_\delta}^{1-\delta} \left( |\Delta \vartheta_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\Delta \log \rho_t^\varepsilon|^2 \right) \rho_t^\varepsilon dt d\mathbf{m} < +\infty$$

for every  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ .

Indeed, in the case  $K = 0$ ,

$$\Gamma_2(h) \geq |\text{Hess}(h)|^2$$

$$\Gamma_2(h) \geq \frac{(\Delta h)^2}{N}$$



## Second order approximation

Then from Léonard's formula we deduce that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \iint_{\mathcal{J}_\delta}^{1-\delta} \left( |\text{Hess}(\vartheta_t^\varepsilon)|^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\text{Hess}(\log \rho_t^\varepsilon)|^2 \right) \rho_t^\varepsilon dt d\mathbf{m} < +\infty$$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \iint_{\mathcal{J}_\delta}^{1-\delta} \left( |\Delta \vartheta_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\Delta \log \rho_t^\varepsilon|^2 \right) \rho_t^\varepsilon dt d\mathbf{m} < +\infty$$

for every  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ .

Indeed, in the case  $K = 0$ ,

$$\Gamma_2(h) \geq |\text{Hess}(h)|^2$$

$$\Gamma_2(h) \geq \frac{(\Delta h)^2}{N}$$

## Theorem (Gigli-T. '17)

Let

- $(X, d, m)$  be a  $RCD^*(K, N)$  space;
- $(\mu_t)$  a  $W_2$ -geodesic with  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \leq Cm$  and bounded supports;
- $f \in H^{2,2}(X)$ .

Then  $t \mapsto \int f d\mu_t$  is  $C^2([0, 1])$  and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int f d\mu_t = \int \text{Hess}(f)(\nabla \phi_t, \nabla \phi_t) d\mu_t$$

where  $(\phi_t) \subset W^{1,2}(X)$  is any continuous choice of locally Lipschitz functions such that

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \text{div}(\nabla \phi_t \mu_t) = 0.$$

In particular, the choice of evolved Kantorovich potential does the job.

Merci de votre attention!