Proofs of the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality

(as of 2017)

The note reviews the known proofs of the isoperimetric inequality for Gaussian measures (as of 2017 – any relevant informations and references on omitted or new further proofs are welcome, and will be incorporated).

Let $\gamma = \gamma_n$ be the standard Gaussian probability measure on the Borel sets of \mathbb{R}^n , with density $\varphi_n(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Denote by $\Phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \varphi_1(x) dx$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the (continuous, strictly increasing) distribution function in dimension one, and define then the Gaussian isoperimetric profile

$$\mathcal{I}(s) = \varphi_1 \circ \Phi^{-1}(s), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

$$\tag{1}$$



The function \mathcal{I} is symmetric along the vertical line $s = \frac{1}{2}$, and such that $\mathcal{I}(0) = \mathcal{I}(1) = 0$. It is worthwhile observing that $\mathcal{I}(s) \sim s \sqrt{2 \log(\frac{1}{s})}$ as $s \to 0$.

Given r > 0, $A_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \inf_{a \in A} |x - a| \le r\}$ is the (closed) *r*-neighborhood of a set *A* in \mathbb{R}^n . The (Gaussian) outer Minkowski content of Borel set *A* is defined as

$$\gamma^+(A) = \liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left[\gamma(A_r) - \gamma(A) \right].$$

Theorem [The Gaussian isoperimetric inequality] For any Borel set A in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\gamma^+(A) \ge \mathcal{I}(\gamma(A)). \tag{2}$$

Equality is achieved on the half-spaces $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle x, u \rangle \leq h\}$ where u is a unit vector and $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

The measure of a half-space is computed in dimension one, $\gamma(H) = \Phi(h)$, and its boundary measure is

$$\gamma^+(H) = \liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left[\Phi(h+r) - \Phi(h) \right] = \varphi_1(h).$$

The Gaussian isoperimetric inequality thus expresses equivalently that, if H is a half-space such that $\Phi(h) = \gamma(H) = \gamma(A)$, then

$$\gamma^+(A) \ge \gamma^+(H),\tag{3}$$

and half-spaces are the extremal sets of the Gaussian isoperimetric problem.



Integrating along the neighborhoods, (3) is equivalently formulated as

$$\gamma(A_r) \ge \gamma(H_r), \quad r > 0, \tag{4}$$

provided that $\gamma(A) = (\geq) \gamma(H)$, or

$$\Phi^{-1}(\gamma(A_r)) \ge \Phi^{-1}(\gamma(A)) + r, \quad r > 0$$
(5)

(since $\gamma(H_r) = \Phi(h+r)$).

Linear (affine) transformations yield the isoperimetric statement for any Gaussian measure. The dimension-free character allows furthermore for an infinite-dimensional formulation on an abstract Wiener space (E, \mathcal{H}, μ) , developed first in [7], as

$$\Phi^{-1}(\mu(A+r\mathcal{K})) \ge \Phi^{-1}(\mu(A)) + r, \quad r \ge 0,$$

where \mathcal{K} is the unit ball of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathcal{H} (cf. [21]). (Here $A + r\mathcal{K} = \{a + rh; a \in A, h \in \mathcal{K}\}$, which, in \mathbb{R}^n , amounts to A_r for \mathcal{K} the Euclidean unit ball.)

The following sections briefly present the various known proofs of the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality.

1 Limit of spherical isoperimetry

In the neighborhood formulation, the isoperimetric inequality for the (normalized) uniform measure σ_N on the *N*-sphere \mathbb{S}^N in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} , due to P. Lévy [22] and E. Schmidt [28], expresses that whenever *A* is a Borel set in \mathbb{S}^N , and *B* a spherical cap (geodesic ball) such that $\sigma_N(A) =$ $(\geq) \sigma_N(B)$, then

$$\sigma_N(A_r) \ge \sigma_N(B_r) \tag{6}$$

for any $r \ge 0$, where A_r is the r-neighborhood of A in the geodesic metric.

It is a folklore result, usually quoted as "Poincaré's lemma", that the normalized uniform measure on the sphere $\sqrt{N} \mathbb{S}^N$, when projected on a *n*-dimensional subspace, converges as $N \to \infty$ to the standard *n*-dimensional Gaussian measure (cf. e.g. [21]). Via this limit, V. Sudakov and B. Tsirel'son [29], and C. Borell [7], independently, put forward the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality from the corresponding one on the sphere, the extremal spherical caps turning into half-spaces.

2 Gaussian symmetrization

Classical proofs of the isoperimetric inequality on the sphere use symmetrization techniques (see e.g. [16]). It is the contribution of A. Ehrhard [13] to have introduced a powerful (Steiner) symmetrization procedure specifically attached to the Gaussian framework, with which he provided a direct independent proof of the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality (along the standard symmetrization scheme). Specifically, given a Borel set A in \mathbb{R}^n , and u a direction vector, define the (Gaussian) symmetrized set A^* (in the direction u) such that, for any $x \in (\mathbb{R}u)^{\perp}$, $A^* \cap (x + \mathbb{R}u) = (-\infty, a]$ where $a \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ is given by

$$\Phi(a) = \gamma_1 \big(A \cap (x + \mathbb{R}u) \big).$$

Then $\gamma(A^*) = \gamma(A)$, and the task is to show that symmetrization decreases the boundary measure $\gamma^+(A^*) \leq \gamma^+(A)$. For infinitely many directions u, the resulting symmetrized set is a half-space.

3 Kernel rearrangement inequality

For Borel sets A, B in \mathbb{R}^n , and t > 0, set

$$K_t(A,B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{1}_A(x) \mathbb{1}_B \left(e^{-t} x + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}} \right) y d\gamma(x) d\gamma(y)$$

It has been shown by C. Borell [8], using the Gaussian symmetrization technology of [13, 14], that, whenever H is a half-space with the same Gaussian measure as a Borel set A, then

$$K_t(A,A) \le K_t(H,H). \tag{7}$$

A heat flow argument of this inequality is provided in [26], extended in a diffusion process picture in [15]. It is shown in [20, 21] that, for any Borel set A and any t > 0,

$$\gamma(A) - K_t(A, A) = K_t(A, A^c) \leq \frac{\arccos(e^{-t})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \gamma^+(A),$$

and that, if H is a half-space,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\arccos(e^{-t})} K_t(H, H^c) = \gamma^+(H).$$

Combined with (7), the latter yields that $\gamma^+(A) \ge \gamma^+(H)$ whenever $\gamma(A) = \gamma(H)$, that is the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality.

4 Brunn-Minkowski inequality

In [13], A. Ehrhard discovered, using Gaussian symmetrization, an improved form of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for Gaussian measures

$$\Phi^{-1}\big(\gamma(\theta A + (1-\theta)B)\big) \ge \theta \Phi^{-1}\big(\gamma(A)\big) + (1-\theta) \Phi^{-1}\big(\gamma(B)\big)$$
(8)

for any $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and any convex bodies A, B in \mathbb{R}^n . This inequality has been extended to the case of only one convex body in [19], and finally to all Borel sets in [9] by pde methods¹.

The inequality (8) applied to B the Euclidean ball with center the origin and radius $\frac{r}{1-\theta}$ yields (5) as $\theta \to 1$.

5 Limit of a two-point inequality

In [5], S. Bobkov showed that for any smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, 1]$,

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, d\gamma\right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sqrt{\mathcal{I}(f)^2 + |\nabla f|^2} \, d\gamma.$$
(9)

Applied to a (smooth) approximation of $f = \mathbb{1}_A$, this inequality yields (2). This functional form is actually equivalent to (2) when considering the level sets of functions defined on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

The proof of (9) in [5] is based on the two-point inequality

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{I}(a)^2 + \frac{1}{2}|a-b|^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{I}(b)^2 + \frac{1}{2}|a-b|^2}$$

for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, and a tensorization argument and the central limit theorem. The stability by product of the functional inequality (9) is indeed a main feature (being true for n = 1, it holds for any dimension n).

6 Heat flow monotonicity

A direct heat flow proof of Bobkov's inequality (9) has been presented in [1]. Let

$$p_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{4t}|x|^2}, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

be the standard heat kernel, fundamental solution of the heat equation $\partial_t p_t = \Delta p_t$. The convolution semigroup $P_t f(x) = f * p_t(x), t > 0$, solves $\partial_t P_t f = \Delta P_t f$ with initial data f.

At $t = \frac{1}{2}$, p_t is just the standard Gaussian density so that $P_{\frac{1}{2}}f(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\gamma$ (while $P_0 f = f$). In order to verify (9), it suffices therefore to show that, for a smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, 1]$, (at any point),

$$P_s\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{I}\left(P_{\frac{1}{2}-s}f\right)^2 + 2s|\nabla P_{\frac{1}{2}-s}f|^2}\right), \quad s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}],$$

is increasing, which is simply achieved taking its derivative (cf. [1]). A martingale proof along the same line, which includes extensions to path (Wiener) spaces, is provided in [4, 11].

¹New recent proofs include [30, 18, 27].

7 Geometric measure theory

A proof of the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality relying on geometric measure theory is presented in the note by F. Morgan [24], with the suitable version of the Heinze-Karcher inequality on weighted manifolds. This inequality provides an upper bound on the volume of a one-sided neighborhood of a hypersurface in terms of its mean curvature and the Ricci curvature of the ambient manifold. In Gauss space, it yields

$$\frac{\gamma(A)}{\gamma^+(S)} \le \frac{\gamma(H)}{\gamma^+(H)}$$

where S is a minimizing hypersurface enclosing a set A with $\gamma(A) = \gamma(H)$. See also E. Milman [23], relying on regularity of isoperimetric minimizers, both in the interior and on the boundary, as emphasized in the early work by M. Gromov [17].

8 Deficit

A stronger version of the isoperimetric inequality examines lower bounds on the deficit

$$\gamma^+(A) - \gamma(H^+)$$

in terms of a functional measuring the proximity of a half-space $H = H_u = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle x, u \rangle \leq h\}$ such as $\gamma(H_u) = \gamma(A)$, with the Borel set A. First steps in this investigation involved a geometric analysis with the Ehrhard symmetrization [12], and a study of the deficit in the kernel rearrangement inequality (7) [25, 26, 15]. A variational method is developed by M. Barchiesi, A. Brancolini and V. Julin [3] providing sharp bounds on the deficit. These authors introduce a technique which is based on an analysis of the first and the second variation conditions of solutions to a suitable minimization problem, providing a direct proof of the sharp deficit bound

$$\gamma^+(A) - \gamma(H^+) \ge c(\gamma(A)) \sqrt{\inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \gamma(A \Delta H_u)}$$

(where $c(\gamma(A)) > 0$ only depends on the measure of A).

9 Extension to strongly log-concave measures

The Gauss space and measure is a model example (of positive curvature and infinite dimension in the language of [2]) to which other examples may be compared. A most natural and famous instance is the case of a probability measure $d\mu = e^{-V} dx$ on \mathbb{R}^n whose potential $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is more convex than the quadratic potential, that is $V(x) - \frac{c}{2}|x|^2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is convex for some c > 0. A main result in this setting is that the isoperimetric profile \mathcal{I}_{μ} of μ is bounded from below by the Gaussian one. That is, if

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu}(s) = \inf \left\{ \mu^{+}(A); \mu(A) = s \right\}, \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

where the infimum is running over all Borel sets A in \mathbb{R}^n (and with a definition of $\mu^+(A)$ similar to $\gamma^+(A)$), then

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu} \ge \sqrt{c} \, \mathcal{I}. \tag{10}$$

The property (10) has been established in [1] by the heat flow monotonicity method (Section 6). A proof using needle decomposition has been proposed in [6]. A celebrated contraction principle in optimal transport by L. Caffarelli [10], expressing that μ is the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}$ -Lipschitz image of γ , produces a neat and direct proof of (10) (although not saying anything on the Gaussian case itself). The geometric measure theory approach outlined in Section 7 covers the framework of weighted Riemannian manifolds with (generalized) curvature bounded from below by a positive constant, also covered by the heat flow argument (cf. [1, 2]).

References

- D. Bakry, M. Ledoux. Lévy-Gromov's isoperimetric inequality for an infinite dimensional diffusion generator. *Invent. Math.* 123, 259–281 (1996).
- [2] D. Bakry, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux. Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 348. Springer (2014).
- [3] M. Barchiesi, A. Brancolini, V. Julin. Sharp dimension free quantitative estimates for the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality. *Ann. Probab.* 45, 668–697 (2017).
- [4] F. Barthe, B. Maurey. Some remarks on isoperimetry of Gaussian type. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 36, 419–434 (2000).
- [5] S. Bobkov. An isoperimetric inequality on the discrete cube, and an elementary proof of the isoperimetric inequality in Gauss space. Ann. Probab. 25, 206–214 (1997).
- [6] S. Bobkov. A localized proof of the isoperimetric Bakry-Ledoux inequality and some applications. (Russian) *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 47, 340–346 (2002); translation in *Theory Probab. Appl.*47, 308–314 (2003).
- [7] C. Borell. The Brunn-Minskowski inequality in Gauss space. Invent. Math. 30, 207–216 (1975).

- [8] C. Borell. Geometric bounds on the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. verw. Gebiete 70, 1–13 (1985).
- [9] C. Borell. The Ehrhard inequality. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 337, 663–666 (2003).
- [10] L. Caffarelli. Monotonicity properties of optimal transportation and the FKG and related inequalities. Comm. Math. Phys. 214, 547–563 (2000).
- [11] M. Capitaine, E. P. Hsu, M. Ledoux. Martingale representation and a simple proof of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on path spaces. *Electron. Comm. Probab.* 2, 71–81 (1999)
- [12] A. Chianchi, N. Fusco, F. Maggi, A. Pratelli. On the isoperimetric deficit in Gauss space. Amer. J. Math. 133; 131–186 (2011).
- [13] A. Ehrhard. Symétrisation dans l'espace de Gauss. Math. Scand. 53, 281–301 (1983).
- [14] A. Ehrhard. Inégalités isopérimétriques et intégrales de Dirichlet gaussiennes. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 17, 317–332 (1984).
- [15] R. Eldan. A two-sided estimate for the Gaussian noise stability deficit. Invent. Math. 201, 561–624 (2015).
- [16] T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss, V. Milman. The dimension of almost spherical sections of convex bodies. Acta Math. 139, 53–94 (1977).
- [17] M. Gromov. Paul Lévy's isoperimetric inequality. Preprint I.H.E.S. (1980).
- [18] P. Ivanisvili. A boundary value problem and the Ehrhard inequality. Studia Math. 246, 257–293 (2019).
- [19] R. Latała. A note on the Ehrhard inequality. Studia Math. 118, 169–174 (1996).
- [20] M. Ledoux. Semigroup proofs of the isoperimetric inequality in Euclidean and Gauss space Bull. Sc. math. 118, 485–510 (1994).
- [21] M. Ledoux. Isoperimetry and Gaussian Analysis. École d'Été de Probabilités de St-Flour 1994. Lecture Notes in Math. 1648, 165–294. Springer (1996).
- [22] P. Lévy. Problèmes concrets d'analyse fonctionnelle. Gauthier-Villars (1951).
- [23] E. Milman. Sharp isoperimetric inequalities and model spaces for the curvaturedimension-diameter condition. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 17, 1041–1078 (2015).
- [24] F. Morgan. Manifolds with density. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 52, 853–858 (2005).

- [25] E. Mossel, J. Neeman. Robust dimension free isoperimetry in Gaussian space. Ann. Probab. 43, 971–991 (2015).
- [26] E. Mossel, J. Neeman. Robust optimality of Gaussian noise stability. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 17, 433–482 (2015).
- [27] J. Neeman, G. Paouris. An interpolation proof of Ehrhard's inequality. Geometric aspects of functional analysis, Lecture Notes in Math. 2266, 263–278. Springer (2020).
- [28] E. Schmidt. Die Brunn-Minkowskische Ungleichung und ihr Spiegelbild sowie die isoperimetrische Eigenschaft der Kugel in der euklidischen und nichteuklidischen Geometrie. Math. Nach. 1, 81–157 (1948).
- [29] V. N. Sudakov, B. S. Tsirel'son. Extremal properties of half-spaces for spherically invariant measures. J. Soviet. Math. 9, 9–18 (1978); translated from Zap. Nauch. Sem. L.O.M.I. 41, 14–24 (1974).
- [30] R. van Handel. The Borell-Ehrhard game. Probab. Theory Related Fields 170, 555–585 (2018).