

A short proof of Schilder's large deviation theorem

Abstract

The note presents the short proof by S. Chevet [3] of Schilder's large deviation theorem for the Wiener measure [10]. The argument covers more generally the case of arbitrary Gaussian measures on a Banach space.

On some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, let $W = (W(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a standard Brownian motion or Wiener process with law the Wiener measure μ on the Banach space $E = C([0, 1])$ of real continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ and reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathcal{H} identified as the subspace of $E = C([0, 1])$ consisting of the absolutely continuous functions $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with almost everywhere derivative h' in $L^2([0, 1])$ (for the Lebesgue measure).

Setting for $h \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$|h|_{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\int_0^1 h'(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

the rate function $\mathcal{I} : E \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ which will govern the large deviation properties of εW as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}|x|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{H}, \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{H}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In the large deviation language ([11, 5, 4]), this rate function is a good rate function in the sense that its level sets $\{\mathcal{I} \leq a\}$, $a \geq 0$, are compact in E (due to the compactness of the \mathcal{H} -balls in E).

The following theorem, going back to the work of M. Schilder [10], presents the large deviation behavior of the law of εW as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For a subset A of E , let

$$\mathcal{I}(A) = \inf_{x \in A} \mathcal{I}(x).$$

Theorem 1 (Schilder's large deviation theorem). *For any closed set F in E ,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon W \in F) \leq -\mathcal{I}(F).$$

For any open set O in E ,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon W \in O) \geq -\mathcal{I}(O).$$

The purpose of this note is to present a short proof of this result in the general context of a Gaussian measure on a Banach space as emphasized by S. Chevet [3], relying on the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality, actually milder Gaussian concentration properties. General references on (Gaussian) large deviations include [11, 5, 4, 9, 2] etc.

1 The Gaussian large deviation principle

Let X be a centered Gaussian random vector on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ with values in a real separable Banach space E equipped with its Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B} , and with norm $\|\cdot\|$. The law μ of X on the Borel sets of E gives rise to an abstract Wiener space structure (E, \mathcal{H}, μ) , in which the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \subset E$, with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$, is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated to the covariance structure of μ (cf. [7, 5, 8, 2]...). In case of the Wiener measure on $E = C([0, 1])$, \mathcal{H} is identified with the subspace of E consisting of the absolutely continuous functions with almost everywhere derivative in $L^2([0, 1])$ as described above.

Large deviations for general Gaussian measures go back to M. Donsker and S. Varadhan [6]. The study of [6] actually addresses the large deviation principle for sums of independent Banach space valued random variables, the Gaussian case being a particular case.

Consider as before the rate function \mathcal{I} as defined in (1), and for a subset A of E , set similarly $\mathcal{I}(A) = \inf_{x \in A} \mathcal{I}(x)$.

Theorem 2 (The Gaussian large deviation principle). *For any closed set F in E ,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in F) \leq -\mathcal{I}(F). \quad (2)$$

For any open set O in E ,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in O) \geq -\mathcal{I}(O). \quad (3)$$

Applied to complements of balls, this theorem easily produces the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \geq t) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = \sup_{\xi \in E^*, \|\xi\| \leq 1} E(\langle \xi, X \rangle^2)$$

together with the observation that $\sigma = \sup_{|h|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 1} \|h\|$.

2 Proof of the upper-bound (2)

The proof by S. Chevet [3] of the upper-bound (2) in Theorem 2 relies on isoperimetric and concentration inequalities which provide a very convenient tool.

Let F be closed in E , and take r such that $0 < r < \mathcal{I}(F)$. By the very definition of $\mathcal{I}(F)$,

$$F \cap \sqrt{2r} \mathcal{K} = \emptyset,$$

where \mathcal{K} is the (closed) unit ball in \mathcal{H} . Since F is closed and \mathcal{K} is compact in E , there exists $\eta > 0$ such that it still holds true that

$$F \cap [\sqrt{2r} \mathcal{K} + B_E(0, \eta)] = \emptyset$$

where $B_E(0, \eta)$ is the ball with center the origin and radius η for the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in E . Clearly

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in B_E(0, \eta)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}(X \in B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})) = 1.$$

The Gaussian isoperimetric inequality for the law of X (cf. [9, 8, 2]) expresses that, whenever $\mathbb{P}(X \in A) \geq \Phi(a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^a e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A + s \mathcal{K}) \geq \Phi(a + s)$$

for every $s \geq 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $\mathbb{P}(X \in B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})) \geq \frac{1}{2} = \Phi(0)$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in F) \leq \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \notin \sqrt{2r} \mathcal{K} + B_E(0, \eta)) \leq 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{2r}}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq e^{-r/\varepsilon^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in F) \leq -r,$$

which is the result since $r < \mathcal{I}(F)$ is arbitrary.

As mentioned above, the full strength of the Gaussian isoperimetric inequality is not really needed, and weaker concentration inequalities are enough to achieve the conclusion. For example, as emphasized in [8], the latter easily produce that

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A + s \mathcal{K}) \geq 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2 + \delta(\mu(A))s}$$

for every $s \geq 0$, where $\delta(\mu(A)) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu(A) \rightarrow 1$, so that the proof may be developed similarly.

3 Proof of the lower-bound (3)

The lower-bound (3) in Theorem 2 classically relies on the Cameron-Martin translation formula which formulates, in the present general setting, that, for any h in \mathcal{H} , the shifted probability measure $\mu(\cdot + h)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , with density given by the formula

$$\mu(B + h) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|h|_{\mathcal{H}}^2} \int_B e^{-\tilde{h}} d\mu \quad (4)$$

for every Borel set B in E , where $\tilde{h} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is (centered) Gaussian under μ with variance $|h|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ [9, 8, 2]. In case of Brownian motion $W = (W(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ on $E = C([0, 1])$ $\tilde{h} = \int_0^1 h'(t) dW(t)$, so that the shifted measure $\mu(\cdot + h)$ has density

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 h'(t)^2 dt - \int_0^1 h'(t) dW(t) \right)$$

with respect to μ .

Let then $h \in O \cap \mathcal{H}$. Since O is open, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $h + B_E(0, \eta) \subset O$, and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in O) \geq \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in h + B_E(0, \eta)).$$

The Cameron-Martin translation formula (4) therefore yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in h + B_E(0, \eta)) &= \mu\left(\frac{h}{\varepsilon} + B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})\right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\frac{|h|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \right) \int_{B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})} \exp \left(-\frac{\tilde{h}}{\varepsilon} \right) d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\int_{B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})} \exp \left(-\frac{\tilde{h}}{\varepsilon} \right) d\mu \geq \mu(B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})) \exp \left(-\int_{B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})} \frac{\tilde{h}}{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{d\mu}{\mu(B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}))} \right).$$

Now

$$\int_{B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})} \tilde{h} d\mu \leq \int_E |\tilde{h}| d\mu \leq \left(\int_E \tilde{h}^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} = |h|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $\mu(B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (for example). As a consequence of the preceding,

$$\int_{B_E(0, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})} \exp \left(-\frac{\tilde{h}}{\varepsilon} \right) d\mu \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{2|h|_{\mathcal{H}}}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Implementing into (5), for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in O) \geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{|h|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2\varepsilon^2} - \frac{2|h|_{\mathcal{H}}}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

from which it follows that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon X \in O) \geq -\frac{1}{2} |h|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = -\mathcal{I}(h).$$

This result for any $h \in O \cap \mathcal{H}$ yields the announced lower-bound (3).

The preceding combined upper and lower arguments actually produce a measurable version of the large deviation principle, without referring to any topology associated to the underlying abstract Wiener space (cf. [1, 8]).

References

- [1] G. Ben Arous, M. Ledoux. Schilder's large deviation principle without topology. *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.* 284, 107–121 (1993).
- [2] V. Bogachev. *Gaussian measures*. Math. Surveys Monogr. 62. American Mathematical Society (1998).
- [3] S. Chevet. Gaussian measures and large deviations. Probability in Banach spaces IV. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 990, 30–46 (1983). Springer.
- [4] A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. Springer (1998).
- [5] J.-D. Deuschel, D. Stroock. *Large deviations*. Academic Press (1989).
- [6] M. D. Donsker, S. R. S. Varadhan. Asymptotic evaluation of certain Markov process expectations for large time III. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 29, 389–461 (1976).
- [7] L. Gross. Abstract Wiener spaces. *Proc. 5th Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob.* 2, 31–42 (1965).
- [8] M. Ledoux. Isoperimetry and Gaussian Analysis. *École d'Été de Probabilités de St-Flour 1994. Lecture Notes in Math.* 1648, 165–294. Springer (1996).
- [9] M. Lifshits. *Gaussian random functions*. Kluwer (1994).
- [10] M. Schilder. Asymptotic formulas for Wiener integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125, 63–85 (1966).
- [11] S. R. S. Varadhan. *Large Deviations and Applications*. SIAM Publications, Philadelphia (1984).