# On quantitative convergence to quasi-stationarity

Persi Diaconis $^{\dagger}$  and Laurent  ${\rm Miclo}^{\ddagger}$ 

<sup>†</sup> Stanford University <sup>‡</sup> Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse

(日) (문) (문) (문) (문)

# Plan of the talk

- Qualitative versus quantitative convergence
- 2 Finite quasi-stationarity
- 3 A reduction by comparison
- 4 Approach by functional inequalities
- **5** Some examples
- 6 Estimates on the amplitude  $a_{\omega}$
- 7 Birth and death processes with  $\infty$  as entrance boundary

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

8 Some references

For fixed  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the usual discrete time random walk  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  on  $[\![0, N]\!]$ , with holding at 0 and N. The invariant (and reversible) probability measure is the uniform distribution  $\eta$ .

**Qualitative result**: whatever the initial condition  $\mathcal{L}(X_0)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X_t)$  converges to  $\eta$  as  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  goes to infinity.

Quantitative result:

$$\left\|\mathcal{L}(X_t) - \eta\right\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leqslant \sqrt{2\exp(-s)}$$

for

$$t \geq \frac{1}{4}(N+1)^2(1+s)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへ⊙

Let us recall the definition of the **total variation** norm: for any signed measure m on a discrete space,

$$\|m\|_{\text{tv}} \coloneqq 2 \sup_{A \subset S} |m(A)|$$
  
= 
$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}, \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1} m(f)$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{x \in S} |m(x)|$$

Mixing time associated to  $(\mathcal{L}(X_t))_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ :

$$T_{\min} := \sup_{\mathcal{L}(X_0)} \inf\{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \|\mathcal{L}(X_t) - \eta\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leq 1\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - ����

### Absorption

In the previous example:  $T_{\rm mix} \leq (N+1)^2(1+\ln(2))/4$ . This is a refined bound using the whole spectrum. If just the spectral gap is used:  $T_{\rm mix} \leq (N+1)^2(1+\ln(N+1))/4$ .

What happens if 0 is absorbing? Then  $\mathcal{L}(X_t) \to \delta_0$ . This can be quantified also, using the first Dirichlet eigenvalue. Our interest here:

$$\tau := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : X_t = 0\}$$
$$\mu_t := \mathcal{L}(X_t | \tau > t)$$

Then (if  $\mathcal{L}(X_0) \neq \delta_0$ !), qualitative result:

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \mu_t = \nu$$

## Quasi-stationary measure

where the probability  $\nu$  on  $[\![1, N]\!]$  is called the **quasi-stationary distribution** and is given by

$$\nu(x) \quad \coloneqq \quad Z^{-1} \cos\left(\frac{(2N+1-2x)\pi}{2(2N+1)}\right)$$

with  $Z^{-1} \coloneqq 2 \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{2(2N+1)} \right)$ , the normalizing constant. If  $\mathcal{L}(X_0) = \nu$ , then  $\mu_t = \nu$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau > t] = \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2N+1}\right)\right)^t$$

(geometric distribution)

Our purpose: to obtain corresponding quantitative results, for instance  $T_{\text{quasi-mix}} \leq \operatorname{cst} N^2 \ln(N)$  in the above case. We will rather work in the continuous time setting, where results are simpler to state.

## The framework

The whole finite state space is  $\overline{S} \coloneqq S \sqcup \{0\}$ , where 0 is the absorbing point. It means that  $\overline{S}$  is endowed with a Markov generator matrix  $\overline{L} \coloneqq (\overline{L}(x, y))_{x, y \in \overline{S}}$  whose restriction to  $S \times S$  is irreducible and which is such that

$$\forall x \in \overline{S}, \qquad \overline{L}(0, x) = 0 \\ \exists x \in S : \qquad \overline{L}(x, 0) > 0$$

If  $\mu_0$  is an initial distribution on S, we can associate a Markov process  $X := (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$ . The absorbing time  $\tau$  and conditional distribution  $\mu_t$ , for  $t \ge 0$ , are constructed as before. There exists a quasi-stationary distribution  $\nu$  such that

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \mu_t = \nu$$

We want to quantify this convergence.

#### Notations

Let K be the  $S \times S$  minor of  $\overline{L}$ . It can be written under the Schrödinger form L - V where  $V(x) = \overline{L}(x, 0)$ , L an irreducible Markov generator on S. Let  $\eta$  be the invariant probability for L. Perron-Frobenius theory: there are  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and a positive function  $\varphi$  such that

$$K[\varphi] = -\lambda_0 \varphi$$

Dual  $L^*$  of L in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\eta)$ : still a Markov generator given by

$$\forall x, y \in S, \qquad L^*(x, y) = \frac{\eta(y)}{\eta(x)}L(y, x)$$

 $K^* = L^* - V$  and there is a positive function  $\varphi^*$  such that

$$\mathcal{K}^*[\varphi^*] = -\lambda_0 \varphi^*$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲理▶ ▲理▶ 三臣 - のへで

Different normalizations:  $\eta[\varphi^*] = 1$  and  $\eta[\varphi\varphi^*] = 1$ . We have  $\nu = \varphi^* \cdot \eta$ : it can be easily checked that for any function f on S,  $\nu[K[f]] = -\lambda_0 \nu[f]$ . As a consequence, for any  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$\nu \exp(tK) = \exp(-\lambda_0 t)\nu$$

namely, if  $\mathcal{L}(X_0) = \nu$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}(X_t) = \exp(-\lambda_0 t)\nu + (1 - \exp(-\lambda_0 t))\delta_0$$

In particular

$$\mathbb{P}_{\nu}[\tau > t] = \mathbb{P}_{\nu}[X_t \in S] = \exp(-\lambda_0 t)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

(exponential distribution).

### Doob transform

Consider the Markovian operator  $\widetilde{L}$  on S defined by its off-diagonal entries via

$$\forall x \neq y \in S, \qquad \widetilde{L}(x,y) := L(x,y) \frac{\varphi(y)}{\varphi(x)}$$

(the diagonal entries are such the row sums vanish).

It is called the **Doob transform** of *L* through  $\varphi$ . We have for any function *f*,

$$\widetilde{L}[f] = \frac{1}{\varphi}(L - V + \lambda_0 I)[\varphi f]$$

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is irreducible and its invariant measure  $\widetilde{\eta}$  is given by

$$\forall x \in S, \qquad \widetilde{\eta}(x) = \varphi(x)\varphi^*(x)\eta(x)$$

Indeed:

$$\widetilde{\eta}[\varphi^{-1}(L - V + \lambda_0)[\varphi f]] = \eta[\varphi^*(L - V + \lambda_0)[\varphi f]]$$

$$= \eta[\varphi f(L^* - V + \lambda_0)[\varphi^*]]$$

$$= 0$$

Let  $(\widetilde{P}_t)_{t \ge 0}$  the ergodic semi-group generated by  $\widetilde{L}$ . Its interest:

#### Theorem

For any probability measure  $\mu_0$  on S and for any  $t \ge 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\varphi_{\wedge}}{2\varphi_{\vee}} \left\| \widetilde{\mu}_{0} \widetilde{P}_{t} - \widetilde{\eta} \right\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leq \left\| \mu_{t} - \nu \right\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leq \left\| 2\frac{\varphi_{\vee}}{\varphi_{\wedge}} \right\| \widetilde{\mu}_{0} \widetilde{P}_{t} - \widetilde{\eta} \right\|_{\mathrm{tv}}$$

where  $\tilde{\mu}_0$  is the probability on *S* whose density with respect to  $\mu_0$  is proportional to  $\varphi$ . In particular the asymptotic exponential rate of convergence of  $\|\mu_t - \nu\|_{tv}$  and  $\|\tilde{\mu}_0 \tilde{P}_t - \tilde{\eta}\|_{tv}$  are the same.

We used the notation

$$\varphi_{\lor} \coloneqq \max_{x \in S} \varphi(x) \qquad \varphi_{\land} \coloneqq \min_{x \in S} \varphi(x)$$

(日) (四) (분) (분) (분) 분

Thus it appears that the first Dirichlet eigenfunction  $\varphi$  is the main ingredient needed to reduce the quantitative study of the convergence to quasi-stationarity to that of the convergence to equilibrium. A crucial quantity seems to be the **amplitude** of  $\varphi$ :

$$a_{\varphi} := \frac{\varphi_{\vee}}{\varphi_{\wedge}}$$

The convergence to equilibrium has been intensively investigated, through various approaches: Lyapounov functions, coupling, strong stationary times, isoperimetry, spectral theory, functional inequalities... The above bounds enable to recycle them for convergence to quasi-stationarity. The simplest of these methods: the  $\mathbb{L}^2$  approach. Let  $\hat{L}$  be the **additive symmetrization** of  $\tilde{L}$  in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{\eta})$ : it is  $(\tilde{L} + \tilde{L}^*)/2$ , where  $\tilde{L}^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $\tilde{L}$  in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\tilde{\eta})$ . By self-adjointness,  $\hat{L}$  is diagonalizable in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\hat{\lambda} > 0$  stand for the smallest non-zero eigenvalue (spectral gap) of  $-\hat{L}$ .

#### Theorem

For any  $t \ge 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{\mu_0\in\mathcal{P}}\|\mu_t-\nu\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{1}{(\varphi\varphi^*\eta)_{\wedge}}\frac{\varphi_{\vee}}{\varphi_{\wedge}}}\exp(-\widehat{\lambda}t)$$

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

where  $\mathcal{P}$  stands for the set of probability measures on S.

## Logarithmic Sobolev inequality

It is possible to improve the pre-exponential factor in the above result, but at the expense of the rate  $\hat{\lambda}$ , via the **logarithmic Sobolev inequalities** associated to  $\hat{L}$ .

Let  $\hat{\alpha} > 0$  be the largest constant such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\widehat{\alpha} \sum_{x \in S} f^2(x) \ln \left( \frac{f^2(x)}{\widetilde{\eta}[f^2]} \right) \varphi^*(x) \varphi(x) \eta(x) \\ \leqslant \sum_{x, y \in S} (f(y) - f(x))^2 \varphi^*(x) \varphi(y) \eta(x) L(x, y)$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mu_t - \nu\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leqslant \sqrt{2 \ln \left(\frac{\eta [\varphi \varphi^*]}{(\varphi \varphi^* \eta)_{\wedge}}\right) \frac{\varphi_{\vee}}{\varphi_{\wedge}}} \exp(-(\widehat{\alpha}/2)t)$$

This is interesting for not too large t and when  $\tilde{\alpha}$  can be computed (e.g. by tensorization).

#### Reversible case

Assume that  $\eta$  is reversible for *L*:

$$\forall x, y \in S, \quad \eta(x)L(x, y) = \eta(y)L(y, x)$$

Then -K = V - L is diagonalizable in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have already met its smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ . Let  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_0$  be the second eigenvalue. The spectral gap bound can be rewritten:

#### Theorem

Under the reversibility assumption, for any  $t \ge 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{\mu_{0}\in\mathcal{P}} \|\mu_{t} - \nu\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{(\varphi^{2}\eta)_{\wedge}}} \frac{\varphi_{\vee}}{\varphi_{\wedge}} \exp(-(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{0})t)$$
$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\eta_{\wedge}}} \left(\frac{\varphi_{\vee}}{\varphi_{\wedge}}\right)^{2} \exp(-(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{0})t)$$

(In this situation  $\varphi^* = \varphi$ ).

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ 三臣 - のへで

# A finite birth and death example with $\lambda_0 \sim \lambda_1 - \lambda_0$ (1)

The 3 first examples are **birth and death processes** on  $\overline{S} := \llbracket 0, N \rrbracket$ , with  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , absorbing at 0. So *L* gives positive rates only to the oriented edges (x, x + 1) and (x + 1, x) where  $x \in \llbracket 1, N - 1 \rrbracket$  and admits a reversible probability  $\eta$ . Assume that the killing rate at 1 is 1, namely  $V(1) = \overline{L}(1, 0) = 1$ . The other values of *V* are taken to be zero.

Specifically for the first example, we choose

The reversible probability  $\eta$  is almost the uniform distribution on  $S = \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$  (*N* has a weight divided by 2). The function  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$\forall x \in S, \qquad \varphi(x) := \frac{1}{Z}\sin(\pi x/(2N))$$

∃ <2 <</p>

where Z is the renormalization constant such that  $\eta[\varphi^2] = 1$ .

# A finite birth and death example with $\lambda_0 \sim \lambda_1 - \lambda_0$ (2)

We compute that  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_0$  are of the same order (as  $N \to \infty$ ), meaning that absorption and convergence to quasi-stationarity happen at similar rates:

$$\begin{split} \lambda_0 &= \frac{\pi^2}{4N^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2})) \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_0 &= 2 \frac{\pi^2}{N^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2})) \end{split}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$a_{\varphi} = rac{2N}{\pi}(1+\mathcal{O}(N^{-2}))$$

It follows from the previous bound that for any given s > 0, if

$$t = \frac{5}{4\pi^2} N^2 \ln(N) + \frac{s}{2\pi^2} N^2$$

then

$$\sup_{\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mu_t - \nu\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leq \frac{4}{\pi^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1})) \exp(-s)$$

# A finite birth and death example with $\lambda_0 \ll \lambda_1 - \lambda_0$ (1)

It is similar to the previous example, except that for some r > 1, we take

$$\forall x \in [[1, N-2]], \begin{cases} L(x, x+1) := r \\ L(x+1, x) := 1 \end{cases}$$
  
 
$$L(N-1, N) = r \text{ and } L(N, N-1) = 1+r$$

The reversible probability  $\eta$  is given by

$$\forall x \in S, \qquad \eta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{r^2 - 1}{2r^N - r - 1}r^{x - 1} & \text{, if } x \in [[N - 1]] \\ \frac{r - 1}{2r^N - r - 1}r^{N - 1} & \text{, if } x = N \end{cases}$$

More involved computations are needed to get information on the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, but finally we get, for large N,

$$\lambda_0 \sim \frac{1}{2}(r+1)(r-1)^2 \frac{1}{r^{N+1}}$$

$$a_{\varphi} = \frac{r}{r-1} (1 + \mathcal{O}(r^{-N}))$$

# A finite birth and death example with $\lambda_0 \ll \lambda_1 - \lambda_0$ (2)

$$\lambda_1 > (1 - \sqrt{r})^2$$

It implies that

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_0 \sim \lambda_1 \gg \lambda_0$$

meaning that convergence to quasi-stationarity happens at a much faster rate than absorption. It follows that for any fixed  $s \ge 0$ , if for N large enough we consider the time

$$t := \frac{1}{2(1-\sqrt{r})^2} \left( \ln(r)N + 2s \right)$$

then

$$\sup_{\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mu_t - \nu\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leqslant \frac{r^2}{(r-1)^{5/2}} (1 + o(1)) \exp(-s)$$

It can be shown that the relaxation time to quasi-stationarity is at least of order N, so the order is optimal here A = A = A = A

The setting is as in the previous example, except that now r < 1. But the behavior of our quantities of interest are very different for large N:

$$egin{array}{rcl} a_arphi & \leq & \displaystylerac{2N}{(1-r)r^{(N-1)/2}}(1+\circ(1)) \ \lambda_0 & \sim & \displaystyle(1-\sqrt{r})^2 \end{array}$$

and

$$\frac{(1-r)^2 \sqrt{r}}{2N^2} (1+\circ(1)) \leqslant \lambda_1 - \lambda_0 \leqslant \frac{16\pi^2 - (1-r)^2}{4N^2} \sqrt{r} (1+\circ(1))$$

In particular absorption happens at a much faster rate than convergence to quasi-stationarity, since  $\lambda_0 \gg \lambda_1 - \lambda_0$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## A finite birth and death example with $\lambda_0 \gg \lambda_1 - \lambda_0$ (2)

Taking furthermore into account that  $\eta_{\wedge} \sim (1-r)r^{N-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mu_t - \nu\|_{\mathrm{tv}} &\leqslant \quad \frac{4\sqrt{1+r}N^2}{(1-r)^{5/2}r^{3(N-1)/2}}(1+\circ(1))\\ & \exp\left(-\frac{(1-r)^2\sqrt{r}}{2N^2}(1+\circ(1))t\right) \end{split}$$

In particular, for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , if we consider

$$t_N := 4(1+\epsilon) \frac{N^2 \ln(N)}{(1-r)^2 \sqrt{r}}$$

then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}} \left\| \mu_{t_N} - \nu \right\|_{\text{tv}} = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

#### A non-reversible example

For fixed  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $S = \mathbb{Z}_N$  endowed with the (turning) generator L

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_N, \qquad L(x, y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{, if } y = x + 1 \\ -1 & \text{, if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

whose invariant probability measure  $\eta$  is the uniform distribution. The potential V takes the value 1 at 0 and 0 otherwise. We can show that

$$\sup_{\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mu_t - \nu\|_{\mathrm{tv}} \leqslant 2\sqrt{N}(1 + o(1)) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{N^2}(1 + o(1))t\right)$$

In particular, for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , if we consider

$$t_{N} := (1+\epsilon) \frac{N^2 \ln(N)}{4\pi^2}$$

then

1

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mu_{t_N} - \nu\|_{t_V} = 0$$

- 2

## Probabilistic interpretation of $a_{\varphi}$

How to estimate  $a_{\varphi}$  in practice?

First resort to the following probabilistic interpretation due to **Jacka and Roberts** [1995]. For any  $x, y \in \overline{S}$ , denote by  $\tau_y^x$  the reaching time of y by  $X^x$ , the Markov process starting from x:

$$\tau_y^x := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t^x = y\} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \sqcup \{+\infty\}$$

#### Proposition

For any  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} = \mathbb{E}[\exp(\lambda_0 \tau_y^x) \mathbf{1}_{\tau_y^x < \tau_0^x}]$$

In particular, with  $O := \{x \in S : \overline{L}(x, 0) > 0\}$ , we have

$$a_{\varphi} = \max_{x \in S, y \in O} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp(\lambda_0 \tau_y^x) \mathbf{1}_{\tau_y^x < \tau_0^x} \right]$$

#### A path method

This result leads to two methods of estimating  $a_{\varphi}$ . The first one is through a path argument. If  $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_l)$  is a path in *S*, denote

$$P(\gamma) := \prod_{k \in [0, l-1]} \frac{\overline{L}(\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1})}{|\overline{L}(\gamma_k, \gamma_k)| - \lambda_0}$$

#### Proposition

Assume that for any  $y \in O$  and  $x \in S$ , we are given a path  $\gamma_{y,x}$  going from y to x. Then we have

$$a_{\varphi} \leqslant \left(\min_{y \in O, x \in S} P(\gamma_{y,x})\right)^{-1}$$

Let G be the oriented graph induced by L on S, denote by d its maximum outgoing degree and by D its "oriented diameter". Let  $0 < \rho_* \leq \rho^*$  be such that

$$\forall x \neq y \in \overline{S}, \qquad \overline{L}(x, y) \in \{0\} \sqcup [\rho_*, \rho^*]$$

Then we get

$$a_{arphi} \leqslant \left(rac{
ho^* d}{
ho_*}
ight)^D$$

In the previous finite birth and death examples, it gives a bound on  $a_{\varphi}$  exploding exponentially in D = N, which is the true behavior only if r > 1. The second method based on spectral estimates enables to recover the fact that  $\varphi_N$  explodes linearly in N for r = 1 and is bounded if 0 < r < 1.

#### Spectral estimates

Assume that  $\eta$  is reversible for L. The operator -K is then diagonalizable, denote  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{N-1}$  its eigenvalues  $(N = \operatorname{card}(S))$ . For any  $x \in S$ , let  $\lambda_0(S \setminus \{x\})$  be the first eigenvalue of the  $(S \setminus \{x\}) \times (S \setminus \{x\})$  minor of -K. Finally, consider

$$\lambda'_0 \coloneqq \min_{x \in O} \lambda_0(S \setminus \{x\})$$

#### Proposition

Under the reversibility assumption, we have

$$a_{arphi} \leqslant \left( \left(1 - rac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0'}
ight) \prod_{k \in \llbracket N - 1 
rbracket} \left(1 - rac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_k}
ight) 
ight)^{-1}$$

Under appropriate assumptions, it can be extended to denumerable state spaces.

# Denumerable birth and death (1)

Consider  $S := \mathbb{N}$  and  $\overline{S} := \mathbb{Z}_+$ , endowed with a **birth and death** generator  $\overline{L}$ : namely of the form

$$\forall x \neq y \in \overline{S}, \qquad \overline{L}(x,y) = \begin{cases} b_x & \text{, if } y = x+1 \\ d_x & \text{, if } y = x-1 \\ -d_x - b_x & \text{, if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $(b_x)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$  and  $(d_x)_{x\in\mathbb{N}}$  are the positive birth and death rates, except that  $b_0 = 0$ : 0 is the absorbing state and the restriction of  $\overline{L}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  is irreducible.

The boundary point  $\infty$  is said to be an **entrance boundary** for  $\overline{L}$  if the following conditions are met:

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi_x b_x} \sum_{y=1}^{x} \pi_y = +\infty$$
(1)  
$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi_x b_x} \sum_{y=x+1}^{\infty} \pi_y < +\infty$$
(2)

## Denumerable birth and death (2)

where

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \pi_x := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{, if } x = 1\\ \frac{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{x-1}}{d_2 d_3 \cdots d_x} & \text{, if } x \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

The probabilistic meanings: (1): for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $X^x$ , does not explode to  $\infty$  in finite time, (2): these processes "go down from infinity". One consequence of (2):  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_x < +\infty$  and  $\eta$  is the normalization of  $\pi$  into a probability measure. (1) and (2) imply that the operator -K has only eigenvalues of multiplicity 1, say the  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  in increasing order, and **Gong**, **Mao and Zhang** [2012] have shown that they are well approximated by the eigenvalues of the Neumann restriction of  $\overline{L}$ to  $[\![0, N]\!]$  for large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, define

$$\lambda_0' \coloneqq \lambda_0(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

#### Theorem

Under the assumptions (1) and (2), we have

$$\left(1-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0'}\right)\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(1-\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_n}\right) > 0$$

The eigenvector  $\varphi$  is bounded and its amplitude satisfies:

$$\frac{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(x)}{\inf_{y \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(y)} = \frac{\lim_{x \to \infty} \varphi(x)}{\varphi(1)}$$
$$\leqslant \left( \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda'_0} \right) \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_n} \right) \right)^{-1}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

There is a somewhat converse statement, via the Lyapounov function approach.

There is a huge literature on quasi-stationarity, with recent surveys provided by Méléard and Villemonais [2012], Van Doorn and Pollett [2013] or by the book of Collet, Martínez and San Martín [2013]. All of these review the history (Yaglom, Bartlett, Darroch-Seneta, ...). An annotated online bibliography is kept up to date by Pollett at

http://www.maths.uf.edu.au/~pkp/papers/qsds.html.

But the quantitative aspect was not fully investigated, usually only the asymptotical rate  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_0$  was identified, but without the pre-exponential factor. See nevertheless **Van Doorn and Pollett** [2013], **Barbour and Pollett** [2010, 2012] or recent preprints of **Cloez and Thai** and of **Champagnat and Villemonais**. The amplitude  $a_{\varphi}$  was used by **Jacka and Roberts** [1995] to investigate the process conditioned to have never been absorbed.